UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,840	05/18/2007	Justin S Bryans	PC32229A	1117	
<sup>28523</sup> PFIZER INC.				EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611			MURRAY, JEFFREY H		
EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CT 06340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

~IPGSGro@pfizer.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,840	BRYANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A and B are six-membered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- II. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a sixmembered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, and B is a sixmembered heterocyclic ring containing one nitrogen, according to
  Claims 1-13 and 21.
- III. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a sixmembered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, and B is a fivemembered heterocyclic ring containing at least one nitrogen, according
  to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- IV. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a six-membered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, and B is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic ring containing either oxygen or sulfur, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

Art Unit: 1624

V. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a six-membered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, and B is a phenyl ring, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

- VI. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a six-membered heterocyclic ring containing <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a six-membered heterocyclic rings containing <u>two</u> nitrogens, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- VII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A and B are six-membered heterocyclic rings containing <u>one</u> nitrogen, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- VIII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a sixmembered heterocyclic ring containing <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a fivemembered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, according
  to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- IX. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a six-membered heterocyclic ring containing one nitrogen, and B is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic ring containing either oxygen or sulfur, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- X. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a sixmembered heterocyclic ring containing <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a phenyl ring, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

Art Unit: 1624

XI. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a fivemembered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a six-membered heterocyclic rings containing <u>two</u> nitrogens, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

- XII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-membered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a six-membered heterocyclic ring containing <u>one</u> nitrogen, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XIII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A and B are five-membered heterocyclic rings containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XIV. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-membered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic ring containing either oxygen or sulfur, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XV. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a fivemembered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, and B is a phenyl ring, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XVI. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic rings containing either oxygen or sulfur, and B is a six-membered heterocyclic rings containing two nitrogens, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,840

Art Unit: 1624

Page 5

XVII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic rings containing either oxygen or sulfur, and B are six-membered heterocyclic rings containing one nitrogen, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.

- XVIII. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic rings containing either oxygen or sulfur, and B is a five-membered heterocyclic ring containing at least <u>one</u> nitrogen, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XIX. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A and B are five-or six-membered heterocyclic rings containing either oxygen or sulfur, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XX. The compound or composition of the Formula I whereby A is a five-or six-membered heterocyclic rings containing either oxygen or sulfur, and B is a phenyl ring, according to Claims 1-13 and 21.
- XXI. The use of a compound of formula (I), according to Claims 14, and 18-20.
- XXII. The method for treatment using a compound of claim 15 and 17.
- XXIII. The method for treatment using a compound of claim 16 and 17.
- XXIV. The method for treatment using a compound of claim 25.
- XXV. The combination of (A) and (B), according to claims 22-24 and 26.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I - VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the claims is a compound of claim 1. Prior art exists which causes the core structure in the current application to lack a special technical feature. The core structure here is a substituted 1-phenyl-triazole core. The remaining substituents on the core portion of the molecule are listed as multiple possibilities and therefore cannot be considered part of the special technical feature. This ring is seen in numerous patents and papers. For example, El-Kerdawy, et. al., Zhonghua Yaoxue Zazhi (1991), 43(5), 355-64, teaches several 1-phenyl-triazoles. Therefore the feature linking the claims does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the art.

Accordingly, Groups I – VI are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species the compounds of Claim 13 and the diseases of claims 16 and 19. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 1624

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 9

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1624

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey H. Murray whose telephone number is 571-272-9023. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30-6pm EST.

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey H Murray/ /James O. Wilson/

Examiner, Art Unit 1624 **Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624**